


DistillerSR

Better, Faster Systematic Reviews

DistillerSR is a powerful multi-user, web-based system that makes it easy to configure, manage, and update your systematic reviews



Reviewer dashboard tracks progress and ensures that you always know what work is remaining

DistillerSR provides:

- Automated reference screening, validation, and full text retrieval
- Dramatic improvements in speed and accuracy
- Customizable forms and protocols
- Living, updatable reviews
- Real time team collaboration
- AI-powered review assistance features

The form is titled 'RefId: 1. Scope for improvement in the quality of reporting of systematic reviews, From the Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group, Beverley Shea, Lex M Bouter, Jeremy M Grimshaw, Daniel Francis, Zulma Ortiz, George A Wells, Peter S Tugwell, Maarten Boers'. It includes a 'Reference Labels' section and a 'Submit Form' button. The form content is as follows:

OBJECTIVE: To assess the quality of reporting in Cochrane musculoskeletal systematic reviews excluding back and injury reviews.

METHODS: This study assessed all the Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group's systematic reviews from Issue 4, 2009, of the Cochrane Library Database of Systematic Reviews. Two reviewers independently extracted data and assessed quality. Two assessment tools were used, including an 18 item checklist and flow chart developed by the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analysis (QUOROM) consensus group, and a 10 item scale, the Orem-Guyatt Overview Quality Assessment Questionnaire (OQAQ). One question on the latter scale (item 10) scores overall quality on a 2 point scale, with high scores indicating superior quality. Data were analyzed using univariate approaches.

RESULTS: The 37 systematic reviews assessed were found to have good overall quality, with scores on individual items revealing only minor flaws. Documenting the flow of included and excluded studies and summarizing the results are 2 areas needing improvement in reporting. According to the Orem-Guyatt scale the overall scientific quality of the Cochrane musculoskeletal reviews was good (mean 5.02/10% CI 3.71-6.32).

CONCLUSION: Our study found that the reviewers

1. Study Design: Select an Answer (dropdown menu)

2. Sample Power (%)

3. Sample Size-Initial (beginning sample)


4. Sample Size-Outcome (analytic sample)

5. Attrition

Use screening forms from DistillerSR's template library or create your own with custom screening criteria

 Evidence Partners

 @evidencepartner


 @evidencepartners

 company/evidence-partners

About Evidence Partners

Founded in 2008, Evidence Partners is the creator of DistillerSR, the world's most widely used literature review software. Our team pioneered the use of technology to manage complex review protocols. DistillerSR helps leading research organizations conduct better, faster reviews to deliver transparent, reproducible, and audit-ready results every time.

Why choose DistillerSR?



Automated reports, including record flow, PRISMA, Kappa, and excluded reference lists, help to get your review done faster and prevent manual errors

Get Done Faster

By streamlining and automating your screening and data extraction, reviewer conflict checking, duplicate reference removal, full text retrieval, and inclusion/exclusion processing, DistillerSR lets you get more done in less time.

Focus on Research, Not Logistics

DistillerSR automates many of the manual document, data, and process management tasks associated with reviews, allowing you to devote your efforts to your research.

Better Data, Fewer Errors

Errors in your data set, such as duplicate references, transcription errors, and data entry mistakes can skew, or worse, invalidate your results. DistillerSR provides built-in automation and validation tools that dramatically reduce the potential for error in your reviews.

Dramatically Faster Updates

Living reviews in DistillerSR make periodic updates fast and easy. Simply upload the latest search results, use the de-duplication tool to remove the references you've already reviewed, adjust your protocol if needed, and process only the new references. Your new data is blended with the original review data for inclusion in your submission.

Powered By Artificial Intelligence

Reduce the amount of time spent on screening and data extraction by leveraging DistillerSR's AI-powered features. Using natural language processing, these features can dramatically increase efficiency in your reviews.

Collaborate With Remote Experts

DistillerSR can be used from any browser on any device, which means your team can collaborate in real time, regardless of location.

Title and Abstract Screening

Reference	Did the study evaluate the quality of systematic reviews?	Did the study use a comprehensive search strategy to identify the systematic reviews included for evaluation?	Did the study use a measurement tool (e.g. AMSTAR) or another set of predefined criteria to assess the quality of the systematic review?
RefID: 133: Low methodological and reporting quality of randomized controlled trials for devices to treat vestibular dysfunction in BPPV, Misra N, Rastogi A, Misra N	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell
RefID: 217: Current utility evaluations inform decision making about interventions for low back pain. Simon-Dupuis, Darnoch M, Ruffin, E, Wai, S, Scott, H, Lamer, C	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell
RefID: 324: The reporting quality of studies investigating the diagnostic accuracy of anti-CCP antibody in rheumatoid arthritis and its impact on diagnostic outcomes. D'Silva, P, Pothiraman, A, Zhang, C, D'Silva, P	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell
RefID: 344: Assessing the quality of reports about randomized controlled trials of acupuncture treatment on mild cognitive impairment. Li, X, Zheng, S, Jiang, W, Jing, H, Jun, K	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell
RefID: 354: Adherence to reporting guidelines in observational studies concerning exposure to pesticides, organic pollutants and effects on women parameters for years 14. Gonzalez M, Sanchez-Perez M, Pineda A, Hernandez M, Lopez-Perez M, Lopez M, Lopez M, Lopez M, Lopez M	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Can't Tell

Submit Your Responses

Enhanced title screening interface with progress bar and automatic scrolling to help you screen more efficiently

Schedule a free, instructor-led demo

+1 (844) 622-8727

info@evidencepartners.com

www.evidencepartners.com

 Evidence Partners

© Evidence Partners 2019